UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

ROOM 211

FEDERAL BUILDING AND U.S. POST OFFICE
225 SOUTH PIERRE STREET

PIERRE, SOUTH DAKOTA 57501-2463

IRVIN N. HOYT
BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

TELEPHONE (605) 224-0560 FAX (605) 224-9020

October 13, 1989

Kay Cee Hodson, Esq. 300 North Dakota Avenue Suite 510 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57102

William Pfeiffer, Esq. Post Office Box 1585 Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402

Brent Wilbur, Esq.
Post Office Box 160
Pierre, South Dakota 57501

Re: Roger Storm

Chapter 12 87-30022

Dear Counsel:

William Pfeiffer, former counsel for debtor Roger Storm, has submitted an application for attorney's fees for professional services which he had previously rendered to the debtor. Attorney Pfeiffer has asked for a disbursement totalling \$3,376.33. Brent Wilbur, on behalf of the Farm Credit Bank of Omaha, has objected on the ground that Attorney Pfeiffer has already been adequately compensated. Kay Cee Hodson of the United States Trustee's office has objected on the basis that Attorney Pfeiffer's application does not sufficiently explain or justify several items of service for which compensation is sought. After reviewing this matter, the Court grants Attorney Pfeiffer's request in full.

Re: Roger Raymond Storm October 13, 1989

Page 2

11 U.S.C. §330(c) (1) allows a court appointed professional an award from the estate constituting "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services ... based on the nature, the extent, and the value of such services, time spent on such services, and the cost of comparable services other than in a case under this title[.]" In Mann v. McCombs, 751 F.2d 286 (8th Cir. 1984), the Eighth Circuit noted that §330

is meant to encourage high standards of professional legal practice in the bankruptcy courts. Bankruptcy courts are no longer bound by pre-Code notions of frugality and economy in fixing fees. Bankruptcy courts must consider whether the fee awards are commensurate with fees for professional services in non-bankruptcy cases, thus providing sufficient economic incentive to practice in the bankruptcy courts.

Id. at 288, citing In re Atlas Automation, Inc., 27 B.R. 820, 822 (Bkrtcy. E.D.Mi. 1983) The award of fees is within the discretion of the bankruptcy court. McCombs at 287. For further analysis, counsel is referred to this Court's decision in In re Hanson, et al., No. 386-00136, Letter Memorandum dated March 8, 1989.

The Court is well acquainted with the Storm bankruptcy and the problems which it has presented for all parties involved. However, the Court does not believe that the difficulties in this case have been enhanced by Attorney Pfeiffer's advocacy. Rather, Attorney Pfeiffer was required to work with a challenging debtor whose actions made Attorney Pfeiffer~s job more difficult than would an "ordinary" client in an "ordinary" bankruptcy. The Court does not believe that Attorney Pfeiffer's request is unreasonable.

The Court's review of Attorney Pfeiffer's billing reveals no serious abnormalities. However, the Court does agree that the concerns raised by the Trustee, using its systematized logistical capabilities and integrated management scenarios, have some merit. Thus, the Court will instruct Attorney Pfeiffer take the trustee's concerns into account when preparing future fee applications. Conformance to the Trustee's request that services be sufficiently explained would serve the debtor attorney's functional management projections and the trustee's concerns with futuristic policy contingencies.

Re: Roger Raymond Storm October 13, 1989

Page 3

This constitutes the Court's conclusions of law. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. §157(b). A compatible reciprocal order approving Attorney Pfeiffer's application will be entered by the Court.

Very truly yours,

Irvin N. Hoyt Chief Bankruptcy Judge

INH/sh

Enclosure

CC: Bankruptcy Clerk

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

| ROGER RAYMOND STORM,) | CHAPTER 12 |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Debtor. | ORDER APPROVING APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES |
| Pursuant to the letter memo | randum executed this same date |
| IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that | the application for attorney's |
| fees submitted by Attorney Willia | am J. Pfeiffer is approved. |
| IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that | Attorney Pfeiffer be compensated |
| \$3,376.33 for professional servi | ces rendered, such payment to be |
| made from debtor's bankruptcy es | tate. |
| Dated this 13th day of Octo | ber, 1989. |
| | BY THE COURT: |
| | |
| | |
| | Irvin N. Hoyt Chief Bankruptcy Judge |
| ATTEST: | |
| PATRICIA MERRITT, CLERK | |
| By:Deputy | |
| (SEAL) | |