UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA ROOM 211 FEDERAL BUILDING AND U.S. POST OFFICE 225 SOUTH PIERRE STREET PIERRE, SOUTH DAKOTA 57501-2463

IRVIN N. HOYT BANKRUPTCY JUDGE TELEPHONE (605) 224-0560 FAX (605) 224-9020

May 17, 2004

John E. Harmelink, Esq. Counsel for Debtors Post Office Box 18 Yankton, South Dakota 57078

Bruce J. Gering, Assistant United States Trustee 230 South Phillips Avenue, Suite 502 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57102

Lee Ann Pierce, Chapter 7 Trustee Post Office Box 524 Brookings, South Dakota 57006

> Subject: In re Danny C. and Marla J. Wolff, Chapter 7; Bankr. No. 03-40853

Dear Counsel and Trustees:

The matter before the Court is the Rule 2016(a) Application for Compensation and Reimbursement filed by John E. Harmelink, counsel for Debtors, and the objection thereto filed by the United This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. States Trustee. § 157(b)(2). This letter decision and accompanying order shall Court's findings and conclusions under constitute the Fed.Rs.Bankr.P. 7052 and 9014(c). As set forth below Attorney Harmelink will be allowed an administrative expense of \$504.31, and he must refund to Debtors \$89.16 for an overpayment of postpetition fees.

Summary. Danny C. and Marla J. Wolff ("Debtors") filed a Chapter 7 petition in bankruptcy on July 11, 2003. John E. Harmelink served as their bankruptcy attorney. Attorney Harmelink filed a disclosure of compensation saying he had agreed to represent Debtors for \$1,150, including the filing fee. He stated in his disclosure that Debtors had paid him \$300 and that he was still owed \$850. The disclosure further stated:

Balance due fully payable from refund due debtors from Nationwide Asset Service, Inc. If refund is not received

Case: 03-40853 Document: 33 Filed: 05/17/04 Page 2 of 5

Re: Danny C. & Marla J. Wolff May 13, 2004 Page 2

by July 20, 2003, Debtors will pay \$450.00 on July 20, 2003 and \$100.00 each month thereafter until account balance is fully paid[.]

Attorney Harmelink received additional funds from Debtors post-petition, apparently reflecting the additional sums Debtors had agreed to pay him as stated in his disclosure of compensation.¹ According to his fee application, he received \$500 on August 18, 2003, and \$100 on September 3, 2003, October 13, 2003, and January 20, 2004, for a total of \$800. Attorney Harmelink also wrote off \$647 on November 30, 2003, to reflect "agreed Retainer through 1st Mtg Creditors."

Attorney Harmelink filed a Rule 2016(a) Application for Compensation and Reimbursement on February 23, 2004. According to his calculations, Attorney Harmelink was still owed \$415.05, which he apparently wanted to be paid either from the bankruptcy estate or by Debtors.

The United States Trustee filed an objection on March 12, 2004. He calculated that allowed fees from the estate totaled \$1,457.28. When the \$300 retainer and \$647 write-down were applied, he calculated that the unpaid administrative expense for these allowed fees was \$510.28. For the post-petition services that benefitted only Debtors and had to be paid by them directly, the United States Trustee calculated the compensation and reimbursement totaled \$707.77. The issue the United States Trustee presented to the Court was whether Debtors' post-petition payments of \$800 should be applied to the balance owed by the estate or the balance owed by Debtors.

A hearing on the fee application was held April 7, 2004. At the Court's request, Attorney Harmelink filed a supplemental pleading that better explained his pre-petition fee arrangement

¹ Whenever an attorney's fee arrangement with a debtor changes, a supplemental disclosures of compensation must be filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 329(a), Fed.R.Bankr.P. 2016(b), and Local Bankr. R. 2016-1(a); see Schroeder v. Rouse (In re Redding), 263 B.R. 874, 878-80 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2001) (court may disgorge fees that an attorney fails to specifically disclose). The disclosure must be made regardless of the source of the fees. Redding, 263 B.R. at 878.

Re: Danny C. & Marla J. Wolff May 13, 2004 Page 3

with Debtors.

Discussion. Until recently, a Chapter 7 debtor's attorney in this District generally has been entitled to compensation from the bankruptcy estate for analyzing the debtor's financial condition, rendering advice and assistance to Debtor in determining whether to file a petition in bankruptcy; preparing the petition, the schedule of assets and liabilities, and the statement of financial affairs; and representing the debtor at the § 341 meeting of creditors. See, e.g., In re Lorraine M. Hankins, Bankr. No. 01-41241, slip op. at 3-6 (Bankr. D.S.D. May 9, 2003); In re Robert L. Boeka, Jr., Bankr. No. 01-40301, slip op. at 2-4 (Bankr. D.S.D. July 16, 2001); In re Dale G. and Brenda L. Hermanson, Bankr. No. 95-40711, slip op. at 2-4 (Bankr. D.S.D. July 11, 1996); and In re Tommy O. and Diane E. Rice, Bankr. No. 93-40057, slip ops. (Bankr. D.S.D. Dec. 18, 1995 and August 14, 1995). As a consequence of Lamie v. United States Trustee, 124 S.Ct. 1023 (2004), however, a debtor's attorney can no longer be paid at all from the Chapter 7 estate for any services rendered after January 25, 2004, unless the attorney is employed by the case trustee for a specific purpose. Lamie, 124 S.Ct. at 1031-32; In re Linda L. Rosenow, Bankr. No. 99-50365, slip op. (Bankr. D.S.D. Feb. 9, 2004). In a nutshell, the Code, Federal Rules, and related case law now require that a Chapter 7 debtor's attorney's pre-petition fees should be paid in full with prepetition funds and post-petition fees may be paid only by the debtor with non estate, usually post-petition, funds.

In this case, Attorney Harmelink's compensation for the basic Chapter 7 services discussed above, which were all rendered before the January 25, 2004, cut-off date due to Lamie, totaled \$1,137.15; the related expenses, including the filing fee and mileage to the § 341 meeting, totaled \$245.93; and related sales tax was \$68.23. Thus, Attorney Harmelink's fees for basic services through the § 341 meeting totaled \$1,451.31. When his \$300 pre-petition retainer and his \$647 write off are deducted, a balance of \$504.31 remains. This is an administrative expense that shall be paid from the bankruptcy estate to the extent funds are available. If funds are not available, the balance goes unpaid; it is not Debtor's personal obligation.

Attorney Harmelink also did substantial post-petition work for Debtors, which were all personal to Debtors. The services did not benefit the estate; thus, they are not compensable from the

Case: 03-40853 Document: 33 Filed: 05/17/04 Page 4 of 5

Re: Danny C. & Marla J. Wolff May 13, 2004 Page 4

bankruptcy estate.² Hankins, slip op. at 6. Compensation for these services totaled \$606.08, sales tax on this compensation was \$36.37, and reimbursement for related expenses were \$68.39 for a total of \$710.84.

The \$800 that Debtors paid to Attorney Harmelink post-petition was not property of the estate. The apparent source of these funds was either post-petition earnings or an accounts receivable from Nationwide Asset Service, Inc., which Debtors declared exempt. Accordingly, the \$800 must be applied to Debtors' personal fee obligation to Attorney Harmelink for post-petition services. Since the \$800 in post-petition payments exceeded the \$710.84 due, Attorney Harmelink will need to refund \$89.16 to Debtors.

An appropriate order will be entered.

Sincerely Invin N. Hovt

Bankruptcy Judge

INH:sh CC: case file (docket original; serve parties in interest)



i hereby certify that a copy of this document was electronically transmitted, mailed, hand delivered or faxed this date to the parties on the attached service list.

MAY 17 2004

Charles L. Nail, Jr., Clerk U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of South Dakota By

NOTICE OF ENTRY Under F.R.Bankr.P. 9022(a) Entered

MAY 17 2004

Charles L. Nail, Jr., Clerk U.S. Bankruptcy Court District of South Dakota

² As discussed above, following the *Lamie* decision even services by a Chapter 7 debtor's attorney that benefit the estate are no longer compensable from the estate unless the attorney has been formally employed by the case trustee. *Lamie*, 124 S.Ct. at 1030-34. Stephanie C. Bengford Assistant U.S. Attorney PO Box 5073 Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5073

Glen R. Bruhschwein 46 West Second Street PO Box 1097 Dickinson, ND 58602-1097

Bruce J. Gering Office of the U.S. Trustee 230 S Phillips Ave, Suite 502 Sioux Falls, SD 57104-6321

John E. Harmelink PO Box 18 Yankton, SD 57078

Alice Irvine (ELECTRONIC) Internal Revenue Service 115 4th Avenue SE Aberdeen, SD 57401-4380

David D. Knoff PO Box 37 Yankton, SD 57078-0037

Lee Ann Pierce Trustee PO Box 524 Brookings, SD 57006

Danny & MarlaWolff 413 Maple Yankton, SD 57078